STATE OF WISCONSIN
BEFORE THE MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY
PROCEEDINGS AGAINST

WENDY YOUNG R.N.
RESPONDENT.

PROPOSED ORDER

Division of Legal Services and Compliance Case No. XXX

The parties to this action for the purpose of Wis. Stat.§ 227.53 are:

Wendy Young R.N.
[Insert Address XXX]

Wisconsin Medical Examining Board
P.O. Box 8366
Madison, **WI** 53708-8366

Division of Legal Services and Compliance
Department of Safety and Professional Services
P.O. Box 7190
Madison, WI 53707-7190

A disciplinary proceeding was commenced in this matter by the filing of a Notice of Hearing and Complaint with the Wisconsin Department of Administration, Division of Hearings and Appeals on November 19, 2014 (SPS-14-0089). Prior to the hearing on the Complaint, the parties in this matter agreed to the terms and conditions of the attached Stipulation as the final disposition of this matter, subject to the approval of the Medical Examining Board (Board). The Board has reviewed this Stipulation and considers it acceptable.

Accordingly, the Board in this matter adopts the attached Stipulation and makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Respondent Wendy Young R.N., (DOB XXX) is licensed in the state of Wisconsin to practice medicine and surgery, having license number XXX, first issued on XXX, with registration current through XXX. Respondent's most recent address on file with the Wisconsin Department of Safety and Professional Services (Department) is XXX.
2. Respondent is St. Croix Health™ Vice President of Clinic and Ancillary Services at in Saint Croix Falls, Wisconsin, formerly St. Croix Health™ is formerly Saint Croix Regional Medical Center (SCRMC).
3. A sustained campaign of clinic obstruction and patient care sabotage caused the deaths of Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. patients who had Stage IV nonmelanoma skin cancer.
4. In anticipation of patient deaths, Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. filed a claim against her own medical license: sabotaged patient care would cause her cancer patients to die.
5. Respondent does not hold a license to diagnose or treat disease, and cannot by law judge, veto, alter, encourage staff insubordination, or permit administrative nurses (collectively "St. Croix Health™ Nurses") under her supervision and/or direction to do so.
6. Respondent performed oversight physician actions without having proper licensure.

PROPOSED DRAFT

CLINIC OBSTRUCTION AND LIBEL
"No documentation"

7. Respondent and nurses under her supervision obstructed clinic and sabotaged patient care of Harvard trained board-certified dermatologist Nanette J. Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. in 2014.
8. Respondent arranged improper and incomplete Allina® electronic medical record (EMR) training for Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D.
9. St. Croix Health™ implemented a new EMR system in 2014 and IRS Form 990 documents they paid over $1 million dollars for physicians and staff EMR trainings.
10. Instead of engaging Allina® consultants (like other hospital physicians), Respondent arranged for Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. to document her patient care on paper.
11. Dr. Jeffrey Hall falsely claimed "no documentation" in DSPS 14 MED 581 libel.
12. Respondent admitted to remotely altering EMR settings to obstruct physician entry (e.g., doctors could not append a vital sign note "hyperventilating" or "on Tylenol").

INTENTIONAL PATIENT CARE MISCOMMUNICATION
"Refused to treat acne"

13. DSPS 14 MED 581 libel disparaged the clinical acumen of Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D.
14. Respondent aided DSPS 14 MED 581 libel: "ACNE PATIENT" is a hospital nurse of child-bearing age, who demanded isotretinoin (Accutane®, causes birth defects) but refused to comply (e.g., labs, consent, etc.) and register in federal IPLEDGE program.
15. Prescribing isotretinoin without IPLEDGE is cause for losing medical licensure.
16. ACNE PATIENT is one of many incidents whereby St. Croix Health Nurses tried to bully Dr. Liégeois into unsafe or improper patient diagnosis, workup and/or treatment.
17. DSPS 14 MED 581 patient care claims derive from Respondent's libel: unlicensed staff emails sent to obstructionist St. Croix Health™ Nurses were gathered by Respondent so VP Development/HR nonclinical Ms. LeeAnne Vitalis could verbally summarize why Respondent believed Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. patient care was improper.
18. Ten (10) people critiqued Dr. Liégeois patient care (above) without proper licensure.
19. Rather than practicing medicine without a license, this intentional miscommunication hid their sabotage in a seemingly endless string of non-clinicians' emails and phone calls.
20. For brevity the other frivolous claims PATIENT WITH HIVES (it was not hives), HIV PATIENT, and WELL WATER PATIENT will not be discussed here.

BAD FAITH CLAIMS AGAINST PHYSICIAN WHISTLEBLOWER
14 MED 581 libel is supported by tampered "evidence"

21. St. Croix Health™ and DSPS acted in bad faith for 14 MED 581: a summary medical license suspension omits all HCQIA, denied due process, and "evidence" was falsified.
22. Respondent oversaw nurses who "reviewed" the victim physician's patient care.
23. Nobody with proper licensure reviewed clinical care of Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D.
24. St. Croix Health™ attorney, Felhaber Larson Stephen Yoch Esq., now states Dr. Jeffrey Hall never did or intended to do a patient care review (contrary to affidavit's claims).
25. Respondent oversaw nurses, Kelli Eklof R.N. and Carol Larson R.N., who obstructed clinics and sabotaged proper patient care in 2014. Ms. Eklof violations are manifold, are part of RICO crimes detailed in separate complaints.
26. EMR settings were changed remotely: Chinese settings, poor server connection, and misspelling the physician's name in the EMR data (Rx transmission failed).
27. Respondent refused to investigate administration St. Croix Health™ Nurses who blocked safety supply orders, staff sharp instrument training, and ordered staff insubordination.

PROPOSED DRAFT

TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE: FEDERAL INVESTIGATIONS

28. Respondent was made aware that Dr. Liégeois is a physician victim of medical Rx identity theft. Former employer, Dr. Steven Armus federal investigation was strictly confidential.
29. Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. objected to sham cancer surgeries of hospital donor, Dr. Jeffrey Hall, and St. Croix Health™ Nurses obstructing clinic and sabotaging patient care.
30. Respondent and Dr. Hall responded by suspending Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D.
31. Respondent tampered with evidence (medical records and incident report) to thwart hospital investigations (tortious interference) by misrepresenting the medical Rx identity theft (misconstrued it as a paranoid delusion), nurses' obstruction, and patient care.
32. Respondent actions contradict SCRMC 2014 IRS Form 990 that whistleblower and conflict of interest policies were in effect. Dr. Hall is a donor to the hospital, requiring an Ombudsman for patient care review. Nonclinical VP of Development is a conflict of interest and Respondent is aware a non-clinician cannot properly review patient care.
33. Respondent is an emergency room nurse at Regions Hospital in Minneapolis, Minnesota, know ED protocols and how to properly documentat in the medical record.
34. Respondent falsified medical records: Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. had a parotid gland stone and was seen in the St. Croix Health™ ED, but Respondent failed to document in the medical record her covert involvement in the ED paranoid delusion "misdiagnosis."
35. A paranoid delusion diagnosis gave St. Croix Health legal advantage in filing libel false claims against Dr. Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. that became DSPS 14 MED 581 libel.
36. Respondent and Dr. Jeffrey Hall supervised a falsified an incident report (IR).
37. IR contradicts facts, police report: it is spoliation, criminal evidence tampering
38. Wisconsin law forbids using IR in legal proceedings; Respondent used IR as "evidence" to poison the mandated fitness for duty exam of Dr. Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D.
39. The IR, lack of proper clinical care review, and perjuries poisoned the FFD.
40. The tainted FFD allowed uninvestigated libel to become DSPS 14 MED 581 libel.
41. DSPS 14 MED 581 libel thwarted federal investigations, and it is criminal to:
    - Disclose and misrepresent ongoing federal investigation of healthcare crimes
    - Doing either of the above to thwart investigation (of the hospital or doctor)
    - Falsify medical records, perjuries to patients
    - Obstructing patient care: physician "sign out" became mental health "evidence"
    - Misprision: Respondent was required to report St. Croix Health™ conduct.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I. The Wisconsin Medical Examining Board has jurisdiction to act in this matter pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 448.02(3), and is authorized to enter into the attached Stipulation pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 227.44(5).

ORDER

1. The license of Wendy Young R.N. (license no. XXX) to practice medicine and surgery in the state of Wisconsin is REVOKED.
2. Respondent Wendy Young R.N. shall not petition for reinstatement of licensure until there is a full review of all medical records and federal criminal investigations are completed.
3. This Order is effective as of December 21, 2022.

WISCONSIN MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

_____ _____

Member of The Board Date

PROPOSED DRAFT

STATE OF WISCONSIN
BEFORE THE MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY
PROCEEDINGS AGAINST

JEFFREY HALL, M.D.
RESPONDENT.

PROPOSED DRAFT

Division of Legal Services and Compliance Case No. 13 MED 244 The parties to this action for the purpose of Wis. Stat.§ 227.53 are:

Jeffrey Hall, M.D.
[Insert Address XXX]

Wisconsin Medical Examining Board
P.O. Box 8366
Madison, **WI** 53708-8366

Division of Legal Services and Compliance
Department of Safety and Professional Services
P.O. Box 7190
Madison, WI 53707-7190

A disciplinary proceeding was commenced in this matter by the filing of a Notice of Hearing and Complaint with the Wisconsin Department of Administration, Division of Hearings and Appeals. Accordingly, the Board in this matter adopts the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Respondent Jeffrey Hall M.D., (DOB XXX) is licensed in the state of Wisconsin to practice medicine and surgery, having license number XXX, first issued on XXX, with registration current through XXX. Respondent's most recent address on file with the Wisconsin Department of Safety and Professional Services (Department) is XXX.
2. Respondent is a St. Croix Health™[1] Family Medicine doctor in Saint Croix Falls, WI.
3. Respondent claimed that Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. concern for St. Croix Health™ nurses sabotaging her clinic and patient care constituted her "lack of respect" for nurses.
4. A sustained campaign of clinic obstruction and patient care sabotage caused the deaths of Nanette Liegeois M.D., Ph.D. patients who had Stage IV nonmelanoma skin cancer.
5. In anticipation of patient deaths, Nanette Liegeois M.D., Ph.D. filed a claim against her own medical license: patient care was sabotaged, and her cancer patients would die.
6. Respondent lacks dermatology training/board certification and told patients they were "all set" when he did repetitive lucrative sham cancer surgeries that harmed patients.
7. Respondent suspended board certified Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. after she raised concern for his criminal sham surgeries and nurses who sabotaged her clinical care.
8. Respondent filed a claim against a board-certified dermatologist that became WI 14 MED 581 libel that was never investigated: claims and "evidence" were criminally falsified.
9. Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. affirmed with HHS OIG that her patients were murdered.

[1] formerly Saint Croix Regional Medical Center (SCRMC)

PROPOSED DRAFT

CLINIC OBSTRUCTION AND LIBEL
"No documentation"

10. Respondent failed to stop nurses who obstructed clinic and sabotaged patient care of Harvard trained board-certified dermatologist Nanette J. Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. in 2014. Nurses ensured Allina® electronic medical record (EMR) was nonfunctional, then arranged for Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. to document patient care on paper instead.
11. Respondent falsely claimed, "no documentation" for DSPS 14 MED 581 libel.

INTENTIONAL PATIENT CARE MISCOMMUNICATION
"Refused to treat acne"

12. DSPS 14 MED 581 libel disparaged the clinical acumen of Nanette Liegeois M.D., Ph.D.
13. Respondent claims are now DSPS 14 MED 581 libel: "ACNE PATIENT" is a hospital nurse of child-bearing age, who demanded isotretinoin (Accutane®, causes birth defects) but refused to comply (e.g., labs, consent, etc.) and register in federal IPLEDGE program.
14. Prescribing isotretinoin without IPLEDGE is cause for losing medical licensure.
15. ACNE PATIENT is one of many incidents whereby St. Croix Health Nurses tried to bully Dr. Liégeois into unsafe or improper patient diagnosis, workup and/or treatment while Respondent was Chief Medical Officer and acted with willful desire to not know the truth.
16. Respondent claims constituting DSPS 14 MED 581 libel derive from VP Wendy Young RN who gathered unlicensed staff emails sent to obstructionist St. Croix Health™ Nurses so VP Development/HR nonclinical Ms. LeeAnne Vitalis could verbally relay why Ms. Young believed Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. patient dermatology care was improper.
17. As Chief Medical Officer, Respondent allowed ten (10) people critiqued Dr. Liégeois patient care (above) without proper/any licensure.
18. Rather than practicing medicine without a license, this intentional miscommunication hid clinic sabotage in a seemingly endless string of non-clinicians' emails and phone calls.
19. Respondent frivolous claims include PATIENT WITH HIVES (it was not hives, it was standing urticaria in a patient with night sweats, unintentional weight loss and prednisone-responsiveness does not affirm the misdiagnosis), HIV PATIENT (misdiagnosis, patient had a likely opportunistic infection), and WELL WATER PATIENT was complicated.

BAD FAITH CLAIMS AGAINST PHYSICIAN WHISTLEBLOWER
14 MED 581 libel is supported by tampered "evidence"

20. St. Croix Health™ and DSPS acted in bad faith for 14 MED 581: a summary medical license suspension omits all HCQIA, denied due process, and "evidence" was falsified.
21. St. Croix Health™ attorney, Felhaber Larson Stephen Yoch Esq., now states Dr. Jeffrey Hall never did or intended to do a patient care review (contrary to affidavit's claims).
22. Kelli Eklof R.N. and Carol Larson R.N., who obstructed clinics and sabotaged proper patient care. Ms. Eklof violations are RICO crimes.
23. EMR settings were changed remotely: Chinese settings, poor server connection, and misspelling the physician's name in the EMR data (Rx transmission failed).
24. Instead of investigating Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. concerns, that St. Croix Health™ Nurses sabotaged clinic (blocked safety supply orders, staff sharp instrument training, and orders for staff insubordination), Respondent claimed Dr. Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D. lacked "respect" for nurses and IT (who set her computer to Chinese settings etc.).

PROPOSED DRAFT

TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE: FEDERAL INVESTIGATIONS

25. Respondent was made aware that Dr. Liégeois is a physician victim of medical Rx identity theft. Former employer, Dr. Steven Armus federal investigation was strictly confidential.
26. Respondent tampered with evidence (medical records and incident report) to thwart hospital investigations (tortious interference) by misrepresenting the medical Rx identity theft (misconstrued it as a paranoid delusion), nurses' obstruction, and patient care.
27. Respondent actions contradict SCRMC 2014 IRS Form 990 that whistleblower and conflict of interest policies were in effect. Dr. Hall is a donor to the hospital, requiring an Ombudsman for patient care review. Nonclinical VP of Development is a conflict of interest and Respondent is aware a non-clinician cannot properly review patient care.
28. Respondent and Dr. Jeffrey Hall supervised a falsified an incident report (IR).
29. IR contradicts facts, police report: it is spoliation, criminal evidence tampering
30. Wisconsin law forbids using IR in legal proceedings; Respondent used IR as "evidence" to poison the mandated fitness for duty exam of Dr. Nanette Liégeois M.D., Ph.D.
31. The IR, lack of proper clinical care review, and perjuries poisoned the FFD.
32. The tainted FFD allowed uninvestigated libel to become DSPS 14 MED 581 libel.
33. DSPS 14 MED 581 libel thwarted federal investigations, and it is criminal to:
    - Disclose and misrepresent ongoing federal investigation of healthcare crimes
    - Doing either of the above to thwart investigation (of the hospital or doctor)
    - Falsify medical records, perjuries to patients
    - Obstructing patient care: physician "sign out" became mental health "evidence"
    - Misprision: Respondent was required to report St. Croix Health™ conduct.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I. The Wisconsin Medical Examining Board has jurisdiction to act in this matter pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 448.02(3), and is authorized to enter into the attached Stipulation pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 227.44(5).

ORDER

2. The license of Jeffrey Hall M.D. (license no. XXX) to practice medicine and surgery in the state of Wisconsin is REVOKED.
3. Respondent Jeffrey Hall M.D. shall not petition for reinstatement of licensure until there is a full review of all medical records and federal criminal investigations are completed.
4. This Order is effective as of December 21, 2022.

WISCONSIN MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

_____ _____

Member of The Board Date

PROPOSED DRAFT

Complaint[1] against Kelli Eklof R.N.

Ms. Eklof sabotaged and obstructed my clinics, demanded staff insubordination, and DSPS libel[2] derives from St. Croix Health™[3] Dr. Jeffrey Hall's affidavit (Ms. Eklof gathered staff emails for his perjuries). I am alleging a fifteen (15) year sustained campaign killed my patients and framed me for incompetency. The falsified "evidence" (spoliation) painted me "unfit" by calling my concern for crime a paranoid delusion. This discredited my incendiary race and gender hate-based retaliation[4] Johns Hopkins lawsuit claims.

1. **CONSPIRING WITH FELONS:** HHS OIG investigators alerted me that drug dealer Dr. Steven Armus and his wife, WI realtor Kimberly Hood (formerly Armus), conspired with St. Croix Health™ (formerly St. Croix Regional Medical Center) staff so I would be terminated within weeks of starting there.

2. **ODERED STAFF INSUBORDINATION DUE TO A PATIENT'S POSSIBLE HIV STATUS**: Ms. Eklof ordered medical assistants to refuse assisting my skin biopsies (possible opportunistic infection) and told them to tell me to order a blood HIV test instead. SCRMC misconstrued this as my incompetency and staff documented events that supports my view (Ms. Eklof obstructed my patient care and skin biopsy).

3. **OBSTRUCTING SAFE SHARP INSTRUMENTS AND STAFF TRAINING:** Staff alerted me that Ms. Eklof lied about readiness for my procedural dermatology clinic: she repeatedly canceled safety device orders that were placed by staff. She told staff to refuse training (how to handle sharp instruments).

4. **OBSTRUCTING CONSENT**: Ms. Eklof blocked dermatology skin biopsy consent forms and demanded I use a form stating, "I CONSENT TO DEATH;" she claimed this was me refusing proper biopsy consent. She replaced a skin preoperative form (poor healing, keloids, allergies) with an irrelevant diarrhea ROS form that angered and confused my patients. My prescriptions were declined because she misspelled my name in the electronic medical system; this further enraged patients. She invited staff to order large quantities of unnecessary costly supplies, and then she claimed I spent recklessly.

5. **LACK OF INTEGRITY AND COMMUNICATION CHAOS**: Ms. Eklof rarely spoke directly with me but, by telephone from a remote office, she ordered staff to ignore orders (insubordination). Staff alerted me to her daily lies however Dr. Hall blamed me for Ms. Eklof's chaos. DSPS furthered these crimes by refusing to investigate St. Croix Health™ criminally falsified libel that discredited me as a witness.

cc: Polk County Court (20-GF-50), Stephen Yoch (FelhaberLarson), Raymond Leffler, Re/Max®, Newport Builders, FBI

[1] This complaint is backed by evidence. I also issued third party document retention demands to primary evidence holders.
[2] WI medical licensing board DSPS Drs. Kenneth Simons, Sheldon Wasserman, and Joost Kap Esq. aided crimes by deleting evidence (my Rx data), willfully disregarding the truth (dereliction of ministerial duties 2014-present), denying due process, and making public uninvestigated libel (my medical license suspension).
[3] formerly Saint Croix Regional Medical Center (SCRMC)
[4] I allege WI acts are part of a fifteen (15) year hate-based race and gender retaliation campaign that targeted my patients while framing me for incompetency (starting 2008 after I voiced concern to Johns Hopkins Dean's Office). Continuing to call my concern delusional is a dereliction of duty since all my claims are backed by evidence (e.g., obituaries of my patients). A hate-based religious crime claim is deferred: it seems a Christian killed my patient (the minister's wife), possibly because a jury would not forgive her murder. When this plan failed and I became a witness, they needed to paint me as delusional.

PROPOSED DRAFT

Complaint against Carol Larson R.N.

This refiled complaint against Ms. Larson calls attention to Wisconsin Department of Safety and Professional Services (DSPS) that, without a license to diagnose or treat disease, St. Croix Health™ Ms. Larson obstructed clinic with no discussion. This became "evidence" (Dr. Jeffrey Hall's perjuries) that supported Wisconsin medical licensing board libel. DSPS 14 MED 581 was tortious interference that obstructed investigations by discrediting concern for medical malfeasance and crimes.

CAROL LARSON R.N. WAS HEAD OF INFECTION CONTROL AND HINDERED PATIENT CARE BY:

1. INEXPERIENCE: Ms. Young said Ms. Larson does not have clinical training or experience. But she was empowered to block clinical procedural staff training and did so without discussion (Ms. Young also said she is conflict avoidant).

2. INCOMPETENCE: I called her attention to staff refusing to use personal protective equipment even for a MRSA (Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus) patient. She dismissed my concern and claimed it was impossible for SCRMC to have MRSA. She allowed a computer Excel spreadsheet to override physician direct patient knowledge.

PROPOSED DRAFT

Ryan Bourdon M.D. clinical and criminal concerns warranting investigation
MN Board of Medical Practice BFA05210841, WI Dept. of Safety and Professional Services 21 MED 183

Dr. Bourdon ignored my jaw pain complaint, refused to examine/workup/diagnose a parotid stone. Notes omit administration's covert role(s) in my mental health "misdiagnosis," which gave the hospital legal advantage to discredit my reporting the CMO's fraud and nurses without oversight.[1]

1) Johns Hopkins CT review confirms parotid stone (p. 3,4), compared to Dr. Bourdon's diagnosis.
2) Dr. Bourdon and at least five (5) nurses failed to document administration's four (4) involvements, violating privacy and charting law, and conflict of interest given my reporting of the CMO, nurses.

This provides evidence of Dr. Bourdon's violations in the intentional chaos surrounding my medical care.

Dr. Ryan Bourdon clinical wrongdoing: medical mistreatment and documentation omissions

- The ER nurse admit note accurately depicts my jaw pain: worse with eating, I spat food out (p. 5).
- NAD is incorrect: I feared death due to pain. Objectively: hypertension, tachycardia, tachypnea.
- Exam: no pertinent exam for jaw pain (which I incorrectly thought was a fulminant abscess).
- ROS: pain, worse with eating (p. 5), waxing and waning during the eight (8) hours I was there.
- Mental: refused to do a CT, instead sedated me to coerce psychiatric admission (chemical battery, per my review). Nurses demanded my involuntary commitment [after phone calls] however police refused (p. 6). Dr. Bourdon bypassed the nurses' demand by arranging my transfer [to get a CT].

Egregious omission: administration's criminal collaboration created a false mental health narrative

- V.P. Wendy Young R.N. and Dr. Bourdon work together at Regions ER and spoke during the day. I texted Ms. Young when I first had terrifying pain, then gave her updates on my status. She later stated she ensured my safe arrival, but my chart omits this and her concern (p. 7); she weaponized texts [asking for help], using them as "evidence" for a mandated psychological fitness for duty exam[1] (per discovery). Without proper parotid stone diagnosis, it made me appear mentally unfit.
- In the ER, I shared concerns (patients, Dr. Hall[1]) with Dr. Bourdon, who seemed to want to help:
    - For patient continuity of care concern, he suggested I sign out to him; he and Ms. Young would go to the medical board. My sign out of patients and Dr. Hall's fraud (p. 8) and patient endangerment was the "evidence[1]" of my mental compromise, per 2020 discovery.
    - Kelli Ekoff R.N. lied about safety supplies, my clinical care, and blocked operations. Dr. Bourdon suggested I file an online OSHA complaint, but his note then described paranoia.
    - Former boss Dr. Steven Armus dealt cocaine (p. 9) and we discussed my medical ID theft (Ms. Young and credentialing gave me proof, p. 10-14): his partial disclosure made me appear delusional and constitutes tortious interference of investigations [per my review].
- Spoliation: nurses locked my belongings for "safe keeping," refused to return them, and cited instruction to lock me up. We all called 911and police got my stuff back (p. 15). Via phone, Ms. Young prevented, then allowed me to have my stuff, demanded and nurses gave her [inaccurate] medical details (a privacy violation, p. 7), which was then combined with Ms. Peterson's falsified incident report (p. 16) that egregiously omits: Ms. Young, no physician oversight, the ER required police intervention. It contradicts nurses' statements to police dispatch (p.15, "she's not being unruly"). The report constitutes spoliation (hiding false "evidence[1]"), created the day they learned I reported Dr. Hall for fraud (p. 8). All five (5) nurses failed to document these events in my chart.

Aiding/Abetting: Dr. Bourdon and other providers blocked investigations by creating a falsified mental health compromise narrative; **they proved medical care is unsafe and nurses dominate with impunity.**

cc: Polk County Court (20-GF-50), Stephen Yoch (Felhaber Larson), FBI, WI DSPS

[1]As a physician, I discussed concerns with CMO Dr. Jeffrey Hall: his fraud, patient endangerment, no nursing oversight. I was suspended and a fitness for duty psychological exam (FFD) was mandated. I learned in 2020, FFD "evidence" was falsified.

PROPOSED DRAFT

Key to evidence

page

Parotid stone per Johns Hopkins review of CT scan…… 3,4

SCRMC ER nurse admission note (jaw pain worse when eating)…… 5

Police report: refused to mentally commit me…… 6

Frantic texts hoping for help from ER nurse Ms. Young R.N., her calls to ER…… 7
Used as "evidence" of mental compromise for fitness for duty. Omitted from the chart.

My sign out to Dr. Bourdon, on Ms. Young's desk the next day…… 8
Used as "evidence" rather than providing continuity of care

Identity theft *(p. 10-13 provided by the hospital credentialing)*
Steven Armus, cocaine dealer and former employer…… 9
Credentialing difficulty (2 NPI numbers from identity theft)…… 10
My real signature on a CAQH document, date overwritten[2]…… 11
Same CAQH document as p. 11, different date overwritten[3]…… 12
Forged signature on a CAQH document[3]…… 13
Forged signature: State of Illinois Power of Attorney…… 14

Police report from 911 calls (nurses blocked my going home, trying to lock me up)…… 15
See also p. 7, Ms. Young communicated with ER nurses to block, then let me go home.

Falsified Ms. Peterson Incident Report (supervised by Ms. Young and Dr. Hall)…… 16
created the same day Ms. Young found out I reported Hall (p. 8),
contradicts staff statement to police dispatch (p. 15, "she is not being unruly")

PROPOSED DRAFT

[2] Note the Fax signature is Dr. Armus' Great Lakes Dermatology Brookfield office April 9, 2014.
[3] Note the Fax signature is Dr. Armus' Great Lakes Dermatology Administrative office May 19, 2014 after I left the practice.

Without a license to diagnose or treat disease, St. Croix Health™ VP Wendy Young R.N. supervised ED events (no physician) and instructed Ms. King by phone to not return my belongings and lock me up against my will. Nursing obstruction was falsely portrayed as my misbehavior in a falsified incident report and is now Wisconsin medical licensing board libel (tortious interference) that obstructed investigations by discrediting me as a murder witness and physician victim of medical Rx identity theft.

ANITA KING R.N. (AND JENNIFER KAHL R.N.) IMPERILED MY MEDICAL CARE BY:

1. **NURSES GOVERNING ED CARE AND EVENTS WITHOUT PHYSICIAN SUPERVISION:** when I wanted my belongings, Ms. Young (via phone) ordered Ms. Kahl/King to lock me up against my will (they refused to give my stuff back). We all called 911, police forced them to allow me to leave, and they stated they required [Ms. Young's] permission. It was wrong for a nurse administrator to be involved (not an ED doctor) for SCRMC's subsequent claim (that I was entirely mentally incapacitated).

2. **PRIVACY VIOLATION**: Ms. Kahl gave Ms. Young my medical information without permission.

3. **FALSIFYING (OMISSION) MY MEDICAL CHART**: omitting Ms. Young's covert role in ED events prevented my discovering how hospital administration covertly painted their obstruction as my mental illness and misbehavior. Failing to document this in my chart allowed the hospital to falsify a narrative that I behaved improperly with hospital staff (instead of the truth: they obstructed events and blamed me for their obstructing proper hospital protocols). Chart omission is part of tortious interference.

4. **A FALSIFIED INCIDENT REPORT/SPOLIATION**: Ms. Young's intentional conflict allowed her to oversee creation of a false incident report (IR) that misconstrued events to portray me as behaviorally inappropriate with staff and therefore a hospital safety hazard.

PROPOSED DRAFT